1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 LORI ESQUIVEL, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-135 JCM (NJK) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court is pro se plaintiffs Lori and Joseph Esquivel's motion for leave 14 to file an amended complaint. (Doc. # 18). Defendants Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA"), 15 Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. ("Countrywide"), CTC Real Estate Services ("CTC"), Federal 16 National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"), Green Tree Servicing, LLC ("Green Tree"), Mortgage 17 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") filed oppositions (docs. ## 20, 23), which MTC 18 Financial, Inc. ("MTC") joined (doc. # 21). Plaintiffs filed replies. (Docs. ## 24, 30). 19 Also before the court is plaintiffs' motion for declaratory judgment. (Doc. # 22). 20 Defendants BAC Home Loans ("BAC"), BANA, CTC, Countrywide, FNMA, Green Tree, and 21 MERS filed responses (docs. ## 25, 27), and plaintiffs filed replies (docs. ## 33, 34). 22 I. Plaintiffs' unnecessary motion for leave to amend complaint (doc. # 18) 23 On February 18, 2015, defendant BAC filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint. 24 (Doc. # 4). Defendants FNMA, Green Tree, MERS, and MTC subsequently filed motions to 25 dismiss. (Docs. ## 8, 10). 26 On March 9, 2015, plaintiffs filed the instant motion for leave to file an amended complaint. 27 (Doc. #18). Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, plaintiffs were able to amend their pleading 28 as a matter of course within 21 days of service of BAC's February 18, 2015, motion to dismiss.

1 2

3

4

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15 16

18

17

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

28

27

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(b). Plaintiffs are proceeding pro se and accordingly were not aware of their ability to amend without leave from the court. Plaintiffs instead filed the instant motion to amend their complaint in full compliance with local rules.

Because plaintiffs are proceeding pro se and were allowed to amend their complaint as a matter of course at the time the instant motion was filed, the court finds it appropriate to allow plaintiffs to amend their complaint as would have been permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(b).

The court will order plaintiffs to file an amended complaint identical to that attached to the motion to amend (doc. # 18) within five days of the entry of this order. Because defendants' motions to dismiss are made with respect to the original complaint, defendants' motions will be denied as moot. Defendants may file revised motions to dismiss to address plaintiff's amended complaint.

II. Plaintiffs' motion for declaratory judgment (doc. # 22)

The Declaratory Judgment Act provides that "any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration." 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a). Under the Declaratory Judgment Act, district courts have "substantial discretion" to decline to exercise jurisdiction over an action for declaratory relief. Wilton v. Seven Falls Co., 515 U.S. 277, 286 (1995).

Declaratory relief is a remedy that may only "be afforded to a party after he has sufficiently established and proven his claims." Freeto v. Litton Loan Serv., LP, no. 3:09-cv-754-LRH-VPC, 2011 WL 112183, at *3 (D. Nev. Jan. 12, 2011) (dismissing claims for declaratory relief and permanent injunction). Plaintiffs have not proven the claims for which they seek declaratory relief.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended complaint (doc. # 18) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. Plaintiffs shall file an amended complaint identical to that attached to the motion to amend (doc. #18) within five days of the entry of this order.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

Case 2:15-cv-00135-JCM-NJK Document 37 Filed 05/05/15 Page 3 of 3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs' premature motion for declaratory judgment (doc. #22) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss (docs. ## 4, 8, 10) are hereby DENIED as moot. DATED May 5, 2015.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge